UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No. CV 25-00596-DMG (JCx)	Date May 30, 2025
Title Melanie Delapaz v. Maria Herrera, et al.	Page 1 of 1
Present: The Honorable DOLLY M. GEE, CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
Derek Davis	Not Reported
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter
Attorneys Present for Plaintiff(s) Not Present	Attorneys Present for Defendant(s) Not Present

Proceedings: IN CHAMBERS—ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

It is the responsibility of plaintiff to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time to respond. If necessary, plaintiff must also pursue Rule 55 remedies promptly upon default of any defendant. All stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by the Court, Local Rule 7-1.

The file in this case lacks the papers that would show it is being timely prosecuted, as reflected below. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, hereby orders plaintiff to show cause in writing no later than June 6, 2025, why this action should not be dismissed as to defendant Carlos Alberto Barrantes Properties, LLC for lack of prosecution.

As an alternative to a written response by plaintiff, the Court will accept one of the following, if it is filed on or before the above date, as evidence that the matter is being prosecuted diligently.

FILING OF A MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT, OR REQUEST TO THE CLERK TO ENTER DEFAULT JUDGMENT.

No oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a responsive pleading or motion on or before the date upon which a response by plaintiff is due.